IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No 431 of 1993

in

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATIONNO 5363 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA and Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.R.TRIPATHI

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO to see the judgements?

- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

KANTILAL CHATURBHAI PATEL

Versus

CHANCELLOR

Appearance:

MR GIRISH PATEL for Appellant

Ms.Harsha Devani, learned A.G.P. for respondents

Nos.1 and 2.

MR SN SHELAT for Respondent No. 3

No one is present on behalf of other respondents

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

MR.JUSTICE R.R.TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 26/09/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT(Per: M.R.Calla,J)

Learned A.G.P. submits that this Letters Patent
Appeal has become infructuous. This statement is not
controverted by Mr.Girish Patel appearing for the
appellant. This Letters Patent Appeal is, therefore,
dismissed as having become infructuous. Notice is hereby
discharged. No order as to costs.

(M.R.Calla,J)

(R.R.Tripathi,J)